arXiv:1703.06424v1 [math.AG] 19 Mar 2017

ULRICH BUNDLES ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

ZHIMING LIN

Abstract. We assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank r Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$. The main result of this paper is that $\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)$ has natural cohomology for any integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, and every Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ has a resolution in terms of n of the trivial bundle over $\mathbb{P}^n$. As a corollary, we can give a necessary and sufficient condition for Ulrich bundles if $n \leq 3$, which can be used to find some new examples, i.e., rank 2 bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$ and rank 3 bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle and H be a very ample line bundle on a variety X. We say $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for (X, H), if $h^q(X, \mathcal{E}(-pH)) = 0$ for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \dim(X)$. This notion was introduced in [8], where various other characterizations are given, i.e., an Ulrich bundle implies a linear maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. Ulrich asked in [12] whether every local Cohen-Macaulay ring admits a linear MCM-module. Correspondingly, it was conjectured in [8] that whether there exists an Ulrich bundle on any variety for any embedding, and what is the smallest possible rank for such Ulrich bundles.

This conjecture is a wide open problem, and we know a few scattered results at present: [9] for hypersurfaces and complete intersections, [8] for curves and del Pezzo surfaces, [3] for abelian surfaces, [2] for geometrically ruled surfaces of invariant $e > 0$, [1] for sufficiently general K3 surfaces, [5] for bielliptic surfaces, [4] and [6] for non-special surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$ (i.e. Enriques surfaces).

In this paper, we will work on an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0 and $\mathbb{P}^n$ will denote the projective space over k of dimension n. We consider the Ulrich bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ for the Veronese embedding $\mathcal{O}(d)$.

In [5], Beauville proved that for any positive integers n and d, there is an Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $n!$ for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, using the quotient map $\pi : (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \to \mathrm{Sym}^n \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n!$. So the existence of Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ has been solved completely. But as n increases, $n!$ grows very fast. So we want to know what is the smallest possible rank for such bundles. In Section 3, we will prove that there is a rank 1 Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ if and only if $d = 1, \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ or $n = 1, \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}(d-1)$.

In [8], Eisenbud, Schreyer and Weyman proved that if $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, then $\mathcal{E}(i)$ has natural cohomology for any integer i. In Section 4, we will generalize this and prove the following result:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60.
Key words and phrases. Ulrich bundles, projective spaces, Veronese embedding.

Theorem 4.1. If $\mathcal{E}$ be an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, then

$$h^q(\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} q = 0 \text{ and } -d < i, \\ 0 < q < n \text{ and } -(q+1)d < i \leq -qd, \\ q = n \text{ and } i \leq -nd. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)$ has natural cohomology. Thus all the $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j))$ are determined by the formula

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)) = rd^n \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{\frac{i+j-k}{d} + n}{n}.$$

Based on this, we prove that every Ulrich bundle has a resolution in terms of n of the trivial bundle, which is the generalization of Corollary 4.3 in [7]:

Theorem 4.3. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle of rank r for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{a_n}(-n) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{O}^{a_2}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^{a_1}(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-d) \to 0,$$

where

$$a_j = -rd^n \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{\frac{j-k}{d} + n - 1}{n}.$$

As a corollary, we can give a necessary and sufficient condition for Ulrich bundles if $n \leq 3$, and reclassify all Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ and $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$. In Section 5, we will construct some Ulrich bundles and prove some properties using the exact sequence, i.e., new examples of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$ and of rank 3 for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$.

2. Preliminaries

For convenience, we recall two formulas to calculate the cohomology of bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Theorem 2.1. *(Bott formula) The values of $h^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ are given by*

$$h^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega^p_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) = \begin{cases} \binom{d+n-p}{d}\binom{d-1}{p} & \text{if } q = 0, 0 \leq p \leq n, d > p \\ 1 & \text{if } d = 0, 0 \leq p = q \leq n \\ \binom{-d+p}{-d}\binom{-d-1}{n-p} & \text{if } q = n, 0 \leq p \leq n, d < p - n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See Okonek, Schneider and Spindler's book [11], Chapter 1 Section 1.1. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ if and only if*

$$h^q(\mathcal{E}(p)) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} q = 0 \text{ and } -d < p, \\ 0 < q < n \text{ and } -(q+1)d < p < -qd, \\ q = n \text{ and } p < -nd. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}(p)$ has natural cohomology and all $h^q(\mathcal{E}(p))$ are determined by $\chi(\mathcal{E}(p)) = rd^n\binom{\frac{p}{d}+n}{n}$.

Proof. See Eisenbud, Schreyer and Weyman's paper [8], Theorem 5.1. □

Here, we say a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ has natural cohomology if for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, at most one of the cohomology groups $H^q(\mathcal{F}(p)) \neq 0$ for $0 \leq q \leq n$. For any real number x and positive integers n and m, we use the formal operation

$$\binom{x+m}{n} \doteq \frac{(x+m)(x+m-1)(x+m-2)\cdots(x+m+1-n)}{n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots 1}.$$



For example, if we assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(3))$, we have the diagrammatic drawing of the cohomologies as above. Since $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-7)) \neq 0$, we can get $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i)) = 0$ for $q \leq 1, q+i \leq -5$ and $q \geq 3, q+i \geq -5$. In general, we have the following useful tip

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$. If $h^{q_0}(\mathcal{E}(i_0)) \neq 0$, then $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i)) = 0$ for $q \leq q_0 - 1, q+i \leq q_0 + i_0$ and $q \geq q_0 + 1, q+i \geq q_0 + i_0$.*

Proof. It's an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2. □

3. Basic Properties

In this section, we construct all rank 1 Ulrich bundles and give a criteria of rank 2 Ulrich bundles.

Proposition 3.1. *There is a rank 1 Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ if and only if $d = 1$, $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ or $n = 1$, $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}(d-1)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E} \doteq \mathcal{O}(a)$ be a rank 1 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$. If $n = 1$, by definition we have $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}(-d)) = h^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{E}(-d)) = 0$, which implies that $\mathcal{E}(-d) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1)$. If $n \geq 2$, there are two ways to calculate the Hilbert polynomial

$$\begin{cases} \chi(\mathcal{O}(a+dt)) = d^n \binom{t+n}{n} & \text{by Theorem 2.2} \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}(a+dt)) = \binom{a+dt+n}{n} & \text{by Bott formula} \end{cases}$$

Comparing the coefficients of t^{n-1} and t^0, we obtain the equations

$$\begin{cases} (n+1)(d-1) = 2a \\ d^n = \binom{a+n}{n} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

If we define

$$f(d) \doteq ln\binom{a+n}{n} - ln(d^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ln[\frac{(n+1)(d-1)}{2} + i] - \sum_{i=1}^{n} lni - nlnd \ \ (d \geq 1),$$

then the derivative of $f(d)$ is

$$f'(d) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}[\frac{1}{d-1+\frac{2i}{n+1}} - \frac{1}{d}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2}[\frac{1}{d-1+\frac{2i}{n+1}} + \frac{1}{d-1+\frac{2(n+1-i)}{n+1}} - \frac{2}{d}] > 0.$$

So we have $f(1) = 0$ and $f'(d) > 0$ for all $d \geq 1$, which implies that $f(d) = 0$ if and only if $d = 1$. Solving the equations (1), we obtain $d = 1$ and $a = 0$.

The converse is trivial by Bott formula. □

Remark 3.2. *Coskun and Genc have proved the case $n = 2$ in* [7] *Proposition 3.1. Besides, in* [5] *Beauville claimed that there is a rank 1 Ulrich bundle for (X, H) with $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{O}_X(H)$ if and only if $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, which is different from Proposition 3.1.*

Proposition 3.3. *The rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:*

1) $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = (n+1)(d-1)$;
2) If $n = 2m$ is even, then $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-pd)) = 0(\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq p \leq m)$; If $n = 2m+1$ is odd, then $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-pd)) = 0(\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq p \leq m)$ and $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-(m+1)d)) = 0(0 \leq q \leq m)$.

Proof. let $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank 2 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$. If we assume that the topological equivalence class of $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)$, then $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = a + b$. There are two ways to calculate the Hilbert polynomial

$$\begin{cases} \chi(\mathcal{E}(dt)) = 2d^n\binom{t+n}{n} & \text{by Theorem 2.2} \\ \chi(\mathcal{O}(a+dt) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b+dt)) = \binom{a+dt+n}{n} + \binom{b+dt+n}{n} & \text{by Bott formula} \end{cases}$$

Comparing the coefficients of t^{n-1}, we obtain $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = (n+1)(d-1)$. Condition 2) is trivial by the definition of Ulrich bundles.

Now we prove the converse. Since $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}^\vee(\det \mathcal{E})$, which implies that $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}^\vee((n+1)(d-1))$ by condition 1). So we get $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-pd)) = h^{n-q}(\mathcal{E}(-(n+1-p)d))$ by Serre duality. □

Remark 3.4. *Actually, if we compare the coefficients of t^i for all i, we can calculate all chern classes of $\mathcal{E}$ of any rank r. For example, if $r = 2$, we have $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{(n+1)(d-1)}{12}[(3n+4)d-(3n+2)]$. As a corollary, when $n = 3, d = 3k$ or $n = 4, d = 2k$ for any positive integer k, there is no Ulrich bundles of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, since $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Eisenbud, Schreyer and Weyman give a more effective criteria to find the triple (n, d, r) such that there is no Ulrich bundles of rank r for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, see* [8] *Corollary 5.3.*

4. Main Results

For convenience, we denote the sheaf of germs of holomorphic j-forms on $\mathbb{P}^n$ by Ω^j. If we dualize the Euler sequence and takes the jth exterior power (see Hirzebruch [10], p. 55), we get the following exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to \Omega^j(j) \to \mathcal{O}^{\oplus\binom{n+1}{j}} \to \Omega^{j-1}(j) \to 0.$$

Now we use the notation $\mathcal{E}_{i,j} \doteq \mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)$. When we tensor the above sequence by $\mathcal{E}(i)$, we have a series of exact sequences

$$(\Lambda_{i,j}): \quad 0 \to \mathcal{E}_{i,j} \to \mathcal{E}(i)^{\oplus \binom{n+1}{j}} \to \mathcal{E}_{i+1,j-1} \to 0.$$

These sequences give us a way to calculate the cohomology of $\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)$ as follow.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\mathcal{E}$ be an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$ and $1 \le j \le n$, then*

$$h^q(\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} q = 0 \text{ and } -d < i, \\ 0 < q < n \text{ and } -(q+1)d < i \le -qd, \\ q = n \text{ and } i \le -nd. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)$ has natural cohomology. Thus all the $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j))$ are determined by the formula

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}(i) \otimes \Omega^j(j)) = rd^n \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{n+1}{k} \binom{\frac{i+j-k}{d} + n}{n}.$$

Proof. To prove the first part of this thoerem, we discuss the value of i.

(Case 1) If $-(q_0+1)d < i < -q_0 d$, where q_0 is an integer with $1 \le q_0 \le n$.

Since $h^{q_0}(\mathcal{E}(i)) \neq 0$ by Theorem 2.2, we discuss the value of q. For any $q \le q_0 - 1$, we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i)) = h^{q-1}(\mathcal{E}(i)) = 0$, which implies $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q-1}(\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j-1})$ by the exact sequence $(\Lambda_{i,j})$. Moreover, since $h^{\widehat{q}}(\mathcal{E}(\widehat{i})) = 0 (\widehat{q} \le q_0 - 1, \widehat{q} + \widehat{i} \le q_0 + i)$ by Corollary 2.3, we can repeat the above step and conclude that

$$h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q-1}(\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j-1}) = \cdots = h^{q-j}(\mathcal{E}_{i+j,0}) = h^{q-j}(\mathcal{E}(i+j)) = 0.$$

For any $q \ge q_0 + 1$, we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{E}(i-1)) = 0$ by Corollary 2.3, which implies $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{E}_{i-1,j+1})$ by the exact sequence $(\Lambda_{i-1,j+1})$. Moreover, with the same reason, we have $h^{\widehat{q}}(\mathcal{E}(\widehat{i})) = 0 (\widehat{q} \ge q_0 + 1, \widehat{q} + \widehat{i} \ge q_0 + i)$, so we can also repeat the above step and conclude that

$$h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = \cdots = h^{q+(n-j)}(\mathcal{E}_{i-(n-j),n}) = h^{q+(n-j)}(\mathcal{E}(i-(n-j)-1)) = 0.$$

Hence, at this case we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = 0$ for $q \neq q_0$.

(Case 2) If $i > -d$.

As in case 1, since $h^0(\mathcal{E}(i)) \neq 0$, we have $h^{\widehat{q}}(\mathcal{E}(\widehat{i})) = 0 (\widehat{q} \ge 1, \widehat{q} + \widehat{i} \ge i)$. Then for any $q \ge 1$, we can get $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q+(n-j)}(\mathcal{E}_{i-(n-j),n}) = h^{q+(n-j)}(\mathcal{E}(i-(n-j)-1)) = 0$. Hence, at this case we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = 0$ for $q \neq 0$.

(Case 3) If $i < -nd$.

As in case 1, since $h^n(\mathcal{E}(i)) \neq 0$, we have $h^{\widehat{q}}(\mathcal{E}(\widehat{i})) = 0 (\widehat{q} \le n-1, \widehat{q} + \widehat{i} \le n + i)$. Then for any $q \le n-1$, we can get $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q-j}(\mathcal{E}_{i+j,0}) = h^{q-j}(\mathcal{E}(i+j)) = 0$. Hence, at this case we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = 0$ for $q \neq n$.

(Case 4) If $i = -q_0 d$, where q_0 is an integer with $1 \le q_0 \le n$.

Since $h^q(\mathcal{E}(i)) = 0 (\forall q)$, we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q-1}(\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j-1}) (\forall q)$ by the exact sequence $(\Lambda_{i,j})$. Now we only need to calculate $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j-1}) (\forall q)$. If $d \neq 1$, then $-q_0 d < i + 1 < -(q_0 - 1)d$. It turns into case 1 or case 2, which we have done. If $d = 1$, then $i = -q_0$. For $j \le q_0 - 1$, we have $-n \le i + j \le -1$ and $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q+j}(\mathcal{E}_{i+j,0}) = h^{q+j}(\mathcal{E}(i+j)) = 0$ for any q. For $j \ge q_0 + 1$, we

have $-n \leq i+j-n-1 \leq -1$ and $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = h^{q-(n-j)}(\mathcal{E}_{i-(n-j),n}) = h^{q-(n-j)}(\mathcal{E}(i+j-n-1)) = 0$ for any q. If $j = q_0$, using the same method we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{-q_0,q_0}) \neq 0$ if and only if $q = q_0$. Hence, at all of these cases we have $h^q(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = 0$ for $q \neq q_0$.

Now we prove the last part of the theorem by induction. By the exact sequence $(\Lambda_{i,j})$, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = \binom{n+1}{j}\chi(\mathcal{E}(i)) - \chi(\mathcal{E}_{i+1,j-1}).$$

By Theorem 2.2 and induction, we can get

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j-k}\binom{n+1}{k}\chi(\mathcal{E}(i+j-k)) = rd^n\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j-k}\binom{n+1}{k}\binom{\frac{i+j-k}{d}+n}{n}.$$

So we have done. □

Remark 4.2. *If we want to find a sufficient and necessary condition as in Theorem 2.2, we need to determinate all quintuple (n, d, r, i, j) such that $\chi(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = 0$, which is very difficult. But for $d = 1$, we have proved that $\chi(\mathcal{E}_{i,j}) = 0$ if $-n \leq i \leq -1$ and $i + j \neq 0$.*

Theorem 4.3. *If $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle of rank r for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, then we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{a_n}(-n) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{O}^{a_2}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^{a_1}(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-d) \to 0, \tag{2}$$

where

$$a_j = -rd^n\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j-k}\binom{n+1}{k}\binom{\frac{j-k}{d}+n-1}{n}.$$

Proof. By Beilinson's theorem ([11], Chapter 2 Theorem 3.1.4), for any vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}\otimes\Omega^{-p}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-p))\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(p) \Rightarrow E^{p+q} = \begin{cases}\mathcal{F}, & \text{if } p+q=0,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(-d)$. By Theorem 4.1, we have $h^q(\mathcal{F}\otimes\Omega^{-p}(-p)) = 0$ for $q \neq 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. By the properties of spectral sequence, we have $E_2^{p,1} = E_\infty^{p,1} = 0$ for $p \neq -1$ and $E_2^{-1,1} = E_\infty^{-1,1} = \mathcal{F}$, which gives the exact sequence (2). At this time, we have

$$a_j = -\chi(\mathcal{E}(-d)\otimes\Omega^j(j)) = -rd^n\sum_{k=0}^{j}(-1)^{j-k}\binom{n+1}{k}\binom{\frac{-d+j-k}{d}+n}{n}.$$

So we have done. □

Corollary 4.4. *A rank r vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$ if and only if $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}^r$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}^r$, then $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-p)) = 0$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$ by Bott formula. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$, we have an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}^r(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-1) \to 0$ by Theorem 4.3 and Remark 4.2. □

Corollary 4.5. *A rank r vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$ if and only if $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}^r(d-1)$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}^r(d-1)$, then $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-d)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}(-d)) = 0$ by Bott formula. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d))$, we have an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}^r(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-d) \to 0$ by Theorem 4.3. □

Corollary 4.6. *A rank r vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies the following two conditions:*

1) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{2}(d-1)}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{2}(d+1)}(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-d) \to 0;$$

2) The induced map $H^2(\alpha(-d)) : H^2(\mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{2}(d-1)}(-d-2)) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{2}(d+1)}(-d-1))$ is injective (or surjective).

In particular, if $r = 2$, the condition 2) is trivial.

Proof. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$, we have the condition 1) by Theorem 4.3. The exact sequence implies $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-d)) = 0$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi(\mathcal{E}(-2d)) = h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2d)) = 0$. Condition 2) is equivalent to $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2d)) = 0$ (or $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-2d)) = 0$).

If $r = 2$, the exact sequence implies that $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3(d-1)$. By Proposition 3.3, the condition 2) is trivial. □

Corollary 4.7. *A rank r vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ is an Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(d))$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies the following two conditions:*

1) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{6}(2d-1)(d-1)}(-3) \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{2r}{3}(d^2-1)}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{6}(2d+1)(d+1)}(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-d) \to 0;$$

2) The induced map $H^3(\alpha(-id)) : H^3(\mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{6}(2d-1)(d-1)}(-id-3)) \to H^3(\mathcal{O}^{\frac{2r}{3}(d^2-1)}(-id-2))$ is injective and $H^3(\beta(-id)) : H^3(\mathcal{O}^{\frac{2r}{3}(d^2-1)}(-id-2)) \to H^3(\mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{6}(2d+1)(d+1)}(-id-1))$ is surjective for $1 \le i \le 2$.

In particular, if $r = 2$, the condition 2) is replaced by that $H^2(\alpha(-d))$ is injective.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the cokernel of α. The exact sequence in condition 1) is equivalent to two short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{6}(2d-1)(d-1)}(-3) \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{2r}{3}(d^2-1)}(-2) \to \mathcal{F} \to 0,$$

and

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}^{\frac{r}{6}(2d+1)(d+1)}(-1) \to \mathcal{E}(-d) \to 0.$$

So the sequences imply that $\chi(\mathcal{E}(-id)) = h^0(\mathcal{E}(-id)) = h^q(\mathcal{E}(-d)) = 0$ for $2 \le i \le 3$ and all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The injection of $H^3(\alpha(-id))$ is equivalent to $h^2(\mathcal{F}(-id)) = 0$, which is equivalent to $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-(i+1)d)) = 0$. The surjection of $H^3(\beta(-id))$ is equivalent to $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-id)) = 0$.

If $r = 2$, the exact sequence implies that $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 4(d-1)$. By Proposition 3.3, to prove that the cokernel $\mathcal{E}$ in condition 1) is an Ulrich bundle, we only need to prove $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2d)) = 0$, which is is equivalent to the injection of $H^3(\alpha(-d))$. □

Remark 4.8. *For $n = 2$, Coskun and Genc have found this exact sequence in* [7]*. The results in Corollary 4.4 and Corollary 4.5 are well known, and there are other proofs using Grothendiecks theorem and the splitting criterion of Horrocks.*

5. Applications

By Proposition 3.1, Corollary 4.4 and Corollary 4.5, if we want to find a non-trivial Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank r for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, then we must have $r \geq 2, d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. In this section, we will give some interesting examples for $r = 2$ or 3.

Example 5.1. *Consider the case $n = 2$ and $r = 2$. By Corollary 4.6, finding a rank 2 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$ is equivalent to finding a morphism $\alpha : \mathcal{O}^{d-1}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^{d+1}(-1)$ such that the cekernel of α is locally free. For example, if we assume that $\{x, y, z\}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$, we can take*

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x & y & z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & y & z & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & x & y & z \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$ we have $\operatorname{rank}(\alpha_p) = d - 1$, then the cekernel $\mathcal{G}$ of α must be locally free. Hence, $\mathcal{G}(d)$ is an Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$.

This example is given by Eisenbud, Schreyer and Weyman in [8] Proposition 5.9. There are two other proofs for the existence of rank 2 Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$ given by Beauville and Casnati, in [5] Proposition 4 and [6] Theorem 1.1. On one hand, by Beauville's result, there exists an Ulrich bundle of rank 2! for any $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$. On another hand, by Serre construction, there exists a rank 2 Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$ fitting into the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(d-3) \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{I}_Z(2d) \to 0,$$

where $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ is a general set of $\binom{d+2}{2} + 1$ points.

In [7] Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.4, Coskun and Genc proved that there is a unique Ulrich bundle of rank $2k$ for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$. As an application of Theorem 4.3, we give another elementary proof for the case $k = 1$.

Proposition 5.2. *There is a unique Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$.*

Proof. We have found an Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ in the above example. Let $\mathcal{E}'$ be another Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$, which is determinated by the morphism $\alpha' : \mathcal{O}(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^3(-1)$. In general, we can assume that

$$\alpha' = (a_{1,1}x + a_{2,1}y + a_{3,1}z \;\; a_{1,2}x + a_{2,2}y + a_{3,2}z \;\; a_{1,3}x + a_{2,3}y + a_{3,3}z).$$

Since for any point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$ we have $\operatorname{rank}(\alpha'_p) = 1$, the determinant of matrix $(a_{i,j})$ is non zero. Hence, $A = (a_{i,j}) \in GL(3, k)$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}^3(-1)$ and we have the commutative graph

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-2) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{O}^3(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(-2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id}\wr & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle A}\wr & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-2) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \mathcal{O}^3(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}'(-2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $\alpha = (x \;\; y \;\; z)$. So we have $\mathcal{E}' \simeq \mathcal{E}$. □

Example 5.3. *Consider the case $n = 3, r = 2$ and $d = 2$. By Corollary 4.7, finding a rank 2 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$ is equivalent to finding two morphisms $\alpha : \mathcal{O}^1(-3) \to \mathcal{O}^4(-2)$ and $\beta : \mathcal{O}^4(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^5(-1)$, such that $\mathrm{Im}\alpha = \ker\beta$ and the cekernel of β is locally free and $H^3(\alpha(-2)) : H^3(\mathcal{O}^1(-5)) \to H^3(\mathcal{O}^4(-4))$ is injective. For example, if we assume that $\{x, y, z, w\}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^3$, we can take*

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z & w \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} y & z & w & 0 & 0 \\ -x & 0 & 0 & z & w \\ w & -x & 0 & -y & 0 \\ -z & 0 & -x & 0 & -y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\alpha\beta = 0$ and for any point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$ the rank of β_p is 3, we have $\mathrm{Im}\alpha = \ker\beta$ and the cekernel of β is locally free. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the cokernel of α, then $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{P^3}(-3)$ by definition. Since $h^2(\mathcal{T}_{P^3}(-5)) = 0$ by Bott formula, we can get that $H^3(\alpha(-2)) : H^3(\mathcal{O}^1(-5)) \to H^3(\mathcal{O}^4(-4))$ is injective. Hence, the cekernel $\mathcal{G}$ of β is locally free, and $\mathcal{G}(2)$ is an Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$.

In [8] Proposition 5.11, Eisenbud, Schreyer and Weyman give another example for this case. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the null correlation bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$, which is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{T}_{P^3}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}(1) \to 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{E} \doteq \mathcal{F}(2)$ is an rank 2 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$. Moreover, they proved the uniqueness of rank 2 Ulrich bundles for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$. Similarly, as an application of Theorem 4.3, we give another proof for this uniqueness.

Proposition 5.4. *There is a unique Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$.*

Proof. We have found an Ulrich bundle $\mathcal{E}$ in the above example. Let $\mathcal{E}'$ be another Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(2))$, which is determinated by the morphism $\alpha' : \mathcal{O}(-3) \to \mathcal{O}^4(-2)$ and $\beta' : \mathcal{O}^4(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^5(-1)$. If we assume that $\mathcal{F}'$ is the cokernel of α', as the proof of Proposition 5.2, we have $\mathcal{F}' \simeq \mathcal{T}_{P^3}(-3)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{T}_{P^3}(-3)$. Now we have the commutative graph

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s'} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^2(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^6 & \longrightarrow & \Omega(2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{P^3}(-2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^5 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}'(-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{E}'$ is determinated by a section s'. Since $\mathcal{E}'(-1)$ is locally free, $s' \in H^0(\Omega(2))$ is base point free. If we consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega(2) \to \mathcal{O}^4(1) \to \mathcal{O}(2) \to 0,$$

then $H^0(\Omega(2)) \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{O}^4(1))$. Let $\{x_i\}$ be the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^3$, we can assume that $s' = (s'_j) = (\sum_{i=1}^4 a'_{i,j}x_i)$ where $(a'_{i,j}) \in GL(4,k)$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{E}$ is determinated by a section s, we also have $s = (s_j) = (\sum_{i=1}^4 a_{i,j}x_i)$ with $(a_{i,j}) \in GL(4,k)$. Let $B = (a'_{i,j})(a_{i,j})^{-1}$. We can get the commutative graph

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{s} & \Omega(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id}\wr & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle B}\wr & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{s'} & \Omega(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}'(-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

So we have $\mathcal{E}' \simeq \mathcal{E}$. □

Example 5.5. *Consider the case $n = 2, r = 3$ and $d = 3$. By Corollary 4.6, finding a rank 3 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$ is equivalent to finding a morphism $\alpha : \mathcal{O}^3(-2) \to \mathcal{O}^6(-1)$ such that the cekernel of α is locally free and $H^2(\alpha(-3)) : H^2(\mathcal{O}^3(-5)) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}^6(-4))$ is surjective. By Serre duality, The surjection of $H^2(\alpha(-3))$ is equivalent to the injection of $\delta : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}^6(-4), \mathcal{O}(-3)) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}^3(-5), \mathcal{O}(-3))$. If we assume that $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$, and*

$$\alpha = (\sum_{p=1}^{3} a_{i,j}^p x_p) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}^3(-2), \mathcal{O}^6(-1)), \quad f = (\sum_{q=1}^{3} b^{j,q} x_q) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}^6(-4), \mathcal{O}(-3)).$$

We have the relation of equivalence

$$\delta(f) = f \circ \alpha = \alpha f = (\sum_{j=1}^{6}(\sum_{p=1}^{3} a_{i,j}^p x_p)(\sum_{q=1}^{3} b^{j,q} x_q)) = (0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{6} a_{i,j}^p b^{j,p} = 0, & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le p \le 3; \\ \sum_{j=1}^{6} a_{i,j}^p b^{j,q} + \sum_{j=1}^{6} a_{i,j}^q b^{j,p} = 0, & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le p < q \le 3. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} y_{6(p-1)+j} \doteq b^{j,p}, & 1 \le j \le 6, 1 \le p \le 3 \\ A_p \doteq (a_{i,j}^p)_{1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le j \le 3}, & 1 \le p \le 3 \\ B_p \doteq (a_{i,j}^p)_{1 \le i \le 3, 4 \le j \le 6}, & 1 \le p \le 3 \end{cases}$$

Then the 18×18 matrix of coefficients of the equations (3) of $\{y_k\}$ is

$$C \doteq \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & A_3 & B_3 \\ A_2 & B_2 & A_1 & B_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & B_3 & A_2 & B_2 \\ A_3 & B_3 & 0 & 0 & A_1 & B_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So δ is injective if and only if $\det(C) \neq 0$. Hence, in order to find an Ulrich bundle of rank 2 for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$, it's enough to find 6 matrixes $\{A_i, B_i\} \subseteq M_{3\times 3}(k)$ such that $\det(C) \neq 0$. For

example, if we assume that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_2+x_3 & x_2+x_3 & x_3 & x_1+x_2 \\ x_3 & x_1+x_3 & x_2 & x_1+x_2+x_3 & 0 & x_2 \\ x_1 & x_2+x_3 & x_1+x_2+x_3 & x_2+x_3 & x_3 & x_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\det(C) = 4 \neq 0$. *Let* $\mathcal{G}$ *be the cekernel of* α, *we have that* $\mathcal{G}(3)$ *is an Ulrich bundle of rank* 3 *for* $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$.

In [7], Coskun and Genc conjectured that for all odd integer d there exists a rank 3 Ulrich bundle for $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(d))$. With the aid of computer technology, people know that it is correct when d is very small, but no concrete example is given. Here, we give the first example for the case $d = 3$.

Acknowledgement. I am heavily indebted to my supervisor Professor Jinxing Cai for his patient guidance and helping me out of the difficulties during the hard time of this paper. I also thank Zhaonan Li, Dong Zhang and Xufeng Guo for their assistance of computer technology.

References

[1] M. Aprodu, G. Farkas, A. Ortega: Minimal resolutions, Chow forms and Ulrich bundles on K3 surfaces, arXiv:1212.6248.
[2] M. Aprodu, L. Costa, R.M. Miro Roig: Ulrich Bundles on Ruled Surfaces, arXiv:1609.08340.
[3] A. Beauville: Ulrich bundles on abelian surfaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), no. 11, 4609-4611.
[4] A. Beauville: Ulrich bundles on surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$, arXiv:1607.00895.
[5] A. Beauville: An Introduction to Ulrich Bundles, arXiv:1610.02771.
[6] G. Casnati: Special Ulrich bundles on non-special surfaces with $p_g = q = 0$, arXiv:1609.07915.
[7] E. Coskun, O. Genc: Ulrich bundles on Veronese Surfaces, arXiv:1609.07130.
[8] D. Eisenbud, F.O. Schreyer, J. Weyman: Resultants and Chow forms via exterior syzigies, Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 537-579.
[9] J. Herzog, B. Ulrich, J. Backelin: Linear maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over strict complete intersections. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 71 (1991), no. 2-3, 187-202.
[10] F. Hirzebruch: Topological methods in algebraic geometry, Springer, 1966.
[11] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler: Vector Bundles on Complex Projective Spaces, Birkhauser Verlag, 1988.
[12] B. Ulrich: Gorenstein rings and modules with high numbers of generators. Math. Z. 188 (1984), no. 1, 2332.

Zhiming Lin

Peking University, Beijing, P. R. China
E-mail address: zmlin@pku.edu.cn